



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,430	07/29/2003	Yoshimi Mizuta	03500.017451.	5291
5514 75	590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MORRISON, THOMAS A	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NDW Politi,			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2000	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,430	MIZUTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Thomas A. Morrison	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	nuary 2006.					
·	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the structure or structural relationship that facilitates the recited "a sheet transported by a sheet transporting force of the image forming apparatus without a transporting drive force of said sheet treating apparatus". What structure allows this function to occur?

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the sheet transporting force" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, it is unclear how many different power supplies are claimed.

Line 9 of claim 4 recites "a power supply". Then, line 11 of claim 4 recites "a power supply". It is unclear if the second recited power supply is the same or different from the previously recited power supply.

For claim 4, it is unclear what is meant by "said displacement body is displaced by a gravitational force of said displacement body is not urged upward by the position for guiding the sheet to said sheet stacking portion."

With regard to claim 5, this claim recites "a displacement body which can be displaced between a position for guiding the sheet to said sheet stacking portion and a position lower than the foregoing position for guiding the sheet to said sheet treating portion". This limitation appears to be inaccurate. Rather, Figs. 2 and 3 of the instant application appear to show that the position for guiding the sheet to the sheet treating portion is higher than the position for guiding the sheet to the sheet stacking position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-7, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,685,180 (Saegusa et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,371,471 (Fukazu et al).

Regarding claim 1, Figs. 2-6(c) of the Saegusa et al. patent show a sheet treating apparatus (Fig. 2) for subjecting a sheet transported from an image forming apparatus (including 11 and 17) to treatment, comprising:

Art Unit: 3653

a sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) which is arranged to stack a sheet (S) transported by a sheet transporting force (i.e., the force of 17) of the image forming apparatus (including 11 and 17) without a transporting drive force of the sheet treating apparatus;

Page 4

a sheet treating portion (including 26) which is arranged to subject the sheet transported from the image forming apparatus (including 11 and 17) to post-treatment;

a sheet guiding portion (19) which is arranged to selectively guide the sheet transported from the image forming apparatus (including 11 and 17) to one of the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) and the sheet treating portion (including 26); and

a sheet treatment control portion (column 6, lines 40-41) which controls the sheet treating apparatus (including 26);

wherein the sheet treatment control portion (column 6, lines 40-41) arranges the sheet guiding portion (19) to be in a position to guide the sheet to the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b). Also, column 10, lines 25-65 of the Saegusa et al. patent explains that different modes can be selected for controlling the position of the sheet guiding portion (19) according to whether post-processing is performed or is not performed. Column 10, lines 52-65 disclose that sheet guiding portion 19 can initially be positioned in either the up or down position before one of the modes is selected. However, the Saegusa et al. patent does not specifically disclose that such sheet treatment control portion arranges the sheet guiding portion to be in a position to guide

Art Unit: 3653

the sheet to the sheet stacking portion in a state in which a power supply of the sheet treating apparatus is turned off.

The Fukazu et al. patent discloses a sheet treating apparatus (including 701, 550, 900, 400 and 800) with a controller (2000 in Fig. 4) that controls the sheet treating apparatus (including 701, 550, 900, 400 and 800) and arranges a sheet guiding portion (e.g., 551) to be in a position to guide a sheet to a sheet stacking portion (701) or a sheet treating portion (800). First, it is noted that when power is not supplied to a solenoid (2066 in Figs. 9A-9B), the sheet is guided along a path headed toward the stacking portion (701). Secondly, it is noted that lines 47-49 of column 9 specifically state that, "Since power is supplied to the unit corresponding to the function only when a function is needed, it can minimize power consumption during a waiting time." In other words, power is turned off to sheet treating devices not in use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to operate the sheet treatment control portion of the Saegusa et al. patent in such a manner that it arranges the sheet guiding portion (19) to be in a position to guide the sheet to the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) in a state in which a power supply of the sheet treating apparatus (Fig. 2) is turned off, to minimize power consumption when no post-processing is performed, as taught by the Fukazu et al. patent.

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 2 of the Saegusa et al. patent shows that the sheet transporting force (via 17) is applied to the sheet at elevation above the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b).

Application/Control Number: 10/628,430

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 3, Fig. 2 of the Saegusa et al. patent shows that the sheet treatment control portion (column 6, lines 40-41) arranges the sheet guiding portion (19) to be switched to a state of selecting the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) when the sheet is not transported for a predetermined period of time in the state in which it is selected to guide the sheet to the sheet treating portion (including 26). In particular, after the sheet is guided to the sheet treating portion (including 26), such sheet is no longer transported for a predetermined period of time during post-processing. After the predetermined time period, the sheet guiding portion (19) is flipped down to drop such sheet into the sheet stacking portion. This scenario meets the limitations of the claim.

Regarding claim 4, as best understood, Figs. 2-6(c) of the Saegusa et al. patent show that the sheet guiding portion comprises:

a displacement body (19) which can be displaced between a position for guiding the sheet to the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) and a position for guiding the sheet to the sheet treating portion (including 26); and

a drive portion (see M1 and column 10, lines 20-25) which displaces the displacement body (19) from the position for guiding the sheet to the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b) to the position for guiding the sheet to the sheet treating portion (including 26), and

wherein when a power supply is activated the displacement body (19) is urged upward (Fig. 2) by the drive portion (see M1 and column 10, lines 20-25) to the position

Art Unit: 3653

for guiding the sheet to the sheet treating portion (including 26) and a power supply is turned off, the displacement body is displaced by a gravitational force of the displacement body (19) is not urged upward by the position for guiding the sheet to the sheet stacking portion (including 18c and 18b).

Regarding claim 6, Figs. Figs. 2-6(c) of the Saegusa et al. patent show that the displacement body (19) comprises a sheet guide path (upper surface of 19) which guides the sheet to the sheet treating portion (including 26).

Regarding claim 7, column 10, lines 17-21 of the Saegusa et al. patent disclose that the sheet treating portion (including 26) has one of a function for aligning sheets, a function for punching sheets, and a function for stapling sheets.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This claim also needs to be amended to address the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph above.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7221. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,430 Page 8

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03/17/2006

KATHY-MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600